UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: INSULIN PRICING LITIGATION

Case No. 2:23-md-03080 (BRM)(RLS) MDL No. 3080

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL CASES

JUDGE BRIAN R. MARTINOTTI JUDGE RUKHSANAH L. SINGH

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER #7

(ORDER GOVERNING MOTIONS TO DISMISS IN THE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL TRACK)

This Case Management Order, jointly proposed and agreed upon by the Parties, sets forth the procedure and timeline for initial motion practice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b) in the State AG Track cases. Unless provided for herein or otherwise ordered by the Court, no other Rule 12 motions may be filed in any State AG Track case at this time:

- 1) The Parties shall refile the following Rule 12 motions and all related exhibits, responses, and replies in the following State AG Track cases:
 - a) Illinois ex rel. Raoul v. Eli Lilly & Co. et al., No. 2:23-cv-04242 (D.N.J.), ECF Nos. 49–50, 52–53, 55–56, 59–62, 83–87, 100–104.
 - b) Montana ex rel. Knudsen v. Eli Lilly & Co. et al., No. 2:23-cv-04214 (D.N.J.), ECF Nos. 84–90, 94–97, 109–113, 128–132.
- 2) On or before April 24, 2024, the Manufacturer Defendants, collectively, and the PBM Defendants, collectively, each will file a single, supplemental brief not to exceed seven (7)

¹ See ECF No. 34 (Case Management Order #3) at § II(2) (establishing the State AG Track and appointing Co-Lead Counsel). The cases currently pending in the State AG Track and subject to this Order are set forth in the Appendix attached hereto.

pages per state (i.e., fourteen (14) pages total).

3) On or before May 24, 2024, Plaintiffs will file a response to the Manufacturer

Defendants' supplemental brief and a response to the PBM Defendants' supplemental brief. Each

response shall not exceed fourteen (14) pages.

4) No replies or further briefing will be permitted.

5) All briefing will be exchanged among the Parties on the dates listed herein. Upon

completion, the Parties will submit the underlying motions in the above-referenced Illinois and

Montana cases, along with the supplemental briefs, as a single, omnibus briefing packet.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 10, 2024

/s/ Brian R. Martinotti

BRIAN R. MARTINOTTI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Appendix

State AG Track cases as of April 4, 2024:

- 1. Montana ex rel. Knudsen v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., No. 2:23-cv-04214 (D.N.J.).
- 2. Kansas ex rel. Kobach v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., No. 2:23-cv-04219 (D.N.J.).
- 3. Arkansas ex rel. Griffin v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., No. 2:23-cv-04239 (D.N.J.).
- 4. Illinois ex rel. Raoul v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., No. 2:23-cv-04242 (D.N.J.).
- 5. Mississippi ex rel. Fitch v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., No. 2:23-cv-04364 (D.N.J.).
- 6. Kentucky ex rel. Cameron v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., No. 2:23-cv-21374 (D.N.J.).
- 7. State of Louisiana v. Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC, et al., No. 2:23-cv-22978 (D.N.J.).
- 8. Arizona ex rel. Mayes v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., No. 2:24-cv-00260 (D.N.J.).
- 9. State of Utah v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., No. 2:24-cv-00536 (D.N.J.).